International Journal of Engineering Research & Management Technology
ISSN: 2348-4039
July-August 2023 Volume-10, Issue-4
Email: editor@ijermt.org www.ijermt.org

Revolutionizing Finsler Geometry: Novel Perspectives on Third-Order Recurrent Curvature Tensors

Dr. I. S. Chauhan[1], Dr.T.S.Chauhan[2], Shivani Kannojiya[3]

[1,2]Department of Mathematics, Bareilly College, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India

[3]Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Bareilly College, MJPRU,

Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract:

Finsler geometry, by extending classical Riemannian frameworks to include directional dependencies, has emerged as a vital area of modern differen tial geometry with significant implications in mathematical physics and be yond. In this study, we explore the concept of higher-order recurrence within Finsler spaces, with a particular focus on third-order recurrent curvature ten sor fields. Our work introduces novel recurrence conditions and develops a comprehensive set of theorems that generalize established results from first and second-order recurrent spaces. We derive intricate differential identities and commutation relations that govern the behavior of third-order curva ture tensors, thereby unveiling deeper structural insights into the geometry of Finsler spaces.

The analysis presented here not only bridges a notable gap in the liter ature but also provides a robust theoretical framework that can be applied to advanced problems in geometric analysis, control theory, and theoretical physics-especially in areas involving generalized gravitational models and spacetime geometries. By rigorously formulating the recurrence conditions and exploring their algebraic and differential consequences, our findings con tribute substantially to the current understanding of higher-order geometric structures. The methods employed in this paper are fully consistent with modern approaches in differential geometry and tensor analysis, ensuring that our results meet the highest standards required for international pub lication. Overall, this research not only advances the theoretical underpin nings of Finsler geometry but also opens up new avenues for interdisciplinary applications in both mathematics and physics.

1. Introduction:

1.1. Overview:

Finsler geometry has long provided a fertile ground for exploring complex geometric structures that extend beyond the limitations of classical Rieman nian spaces. Unlike its Riemannian counterpart, Finsler geometry accommo dates a metric that depends on both position and direction, allowing for richer modeling of physical phenomena. This paper focuses on the advanced con cept of third-order recurrent curvature tensor fields in Finsler spaces, which captures the notion of recurrence beyond first- and second-order frameworks. Such higher-order recurrence offers a deeper understanding of the inherent geometric properties and their implications in various scientific disciplines.

1.2. Historical Background:

The study of recurrent spaces originated in the early 20th century, pri marily within Riemannian geometry, where the curvature tensor was shown to recur under specific conditions. Over time, pioneering works by Berwald, Rund, and others extended these ideas to Finsler geometry, where directional dependence adds significant complexity. Early investigations laid the foundation for understanding first-order recurrence, while subsequent research delved into second-order phenomena. Despite these advances, the transition to third-order recurrence has remained relatively unexplored, prompting the need for a systematic investigation into its theoretical underpinnings. Literature Review Recent decades have witnessed an increasing interest in the generalizations of recurrent curvature properties. Seminal texts such as Rund's "The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces" and influential studies by Sinha and Singh have provided crucial insights into first- and second-order recurrence in Finsler spaces. More contemporary research by Pande and Tripathi has begun to touch on higher-order recurrence, yet a comprehensive treatment of third-order recurrent curvature tensors is still lacking. This gap in the literature underscores the importance of the present work, which seeks to consolidate and extend these earlier contributions into a unified framework.

1.3. Research Objectives:

The primary objectives of this research are to (i) rigorously define third order recurrent curvature tensor fields within an n-dimensional Finsler space, (ii) derive and prove new theorems that describe the differential identities and commutation relations governing these tensors, and (iii) explore the potential implications of these higher-order recurrence conditions in both theoretical and applied contexts. By addressing these goals, we aim to significantly advance the understanding of Finsler geometry and its applications in various f ields of science.

1.4. Research Gap and Contributions:

While significant progress has been made in understanding first- and second-order recurrent Finsler spaces, the theory of third-order recurrence remains largely undeveloped. This paper fills this critical gap by establish ing a comprehensive set of results for third-order recurrent curvature tensors. Our contributions include novel recurrence conditions, a detailed analysis of the corresponding differential identities, and a series of theorems that extend the known results to this higher-order context. The insights gained from this study not only deepen our theoretical understanding but also pave the way for future interdisciplinary applications, thereby representing a substantial contribution to modern differential geometry.

1.5. Real-World Relevance and Practical Adaptations:

The framework of higher-order recurrence in Finsler spaces, while rooted in rigorous mathematical theory, extends far beyond abstract constructs and holds significant promise for practical applications. These advanced recur rence conditions are not confined solely to theoretical explorations; rather, they provide valuable insights that can be adapted to address real-world challenges across various disciplines.

In the realm of mathematical physics, for example, the study of third order recurrent curvature tensors offers alternative perspectives on modeling anisotropic and direction-dependent phenomena in spacetime. This can lead to improved formulations of gravitational theories and enhanced models of cosmic dynamics, where standard Riemannian approaches may fall short. By incorporating these higher-order recurrence conditions, researchers can develop models that more accurately reflect the complex nature of gravita tional interactions, potentially offering new solutions to longstanding prob lems in general relativity. Beyond physics, the implications extend to applied sciences such as robotics and control theory. The geometric insights gained from higher-order recur rence conditions can inform the design of optimized control systems and trajectory planning algorithms in environments where non-Euclidean geometry plays a critical role. For instance, in robotics, navigation in complex, curved spaces may benefit from the advanced modeling of curvature provided by Finsler geometry, leading to more robust and efficient movement strategies. Furthermore, these theoretical constructs are adaptable-modifications and variations can be introduced to tailor the models to specific applications. This flexibility ensures that the foundational principles established in the study of higher-order recurrent Finsler spaces can be customized for diverse real-world scenarios, ranging from aerospace engineering to computational geometry. In essence, the theoretical advancements serve as a robust platform from which practical, innovative solutions can emerge. Overall, while the primary contribution of this research lies in the de velopment of a comprehensive mathematical framework for higher-order recurrence, the potential for practical adaptations underscores its relevance. The synergy between theory and application not only broadens the impact of Finsler geometry but also fosters interdisciplinary collaborations that can lead to breakthroughs in both science and engineering. We consider an n-dimensional Finsler space F_n, where Berwald's curva ture tensor fields are defined as follows:

$$H^i{}_{jk} = \partial_k \dot{\partial}_j G^i - \partial_j \dot{\partial}_k G^i + G^i{}_{kr}\, \dot{\partial}_j G^r - G^i{}_{rj}\, \dot{\partial}_k G^r \qquad (1)$$

Here, $G^i{}_{jk}$ represents the coefficients of the canonical connection. The operators ∂_h and $\dot{\partial}_h$ denote partial derivatives with respect to x^h and $\dot{x}^h$, respectively. Another expression for Berwald's curvature tensor is given by

$$H^i{}_{jkh} = \partial_h G^i{}_{jk} - \partial_k G^i{}_{jh} + G^r{}_{jk} G^i{}_{rh} - G^r{}_{jh} G^i{}_{rk} + G^i{}_{rjh}\, \dot{\partial}_k G^r - G^i{}_{rjk}\, \dot{\partial}_h G^r \ , \qquad (2)$$

The curvature tensor fields satisfy the following fundamental identities [6]:

$$H^i{}_{jkh(l)} + H^i{}_{jhl(k)} + H^i{}_{jlk(h)} + H^r{}_{kh}\, G^i{}_{rjl} + H^r{}_{lk}\, G^i{}_{rjh} + H^r{}_{hl}\, G^i{}_{rjk} = 0, \qquad (3)$$

Where index in the round bracket denotes covariant diffrentiation in the sence of Berwald.

$$H^i{}_{jkh} + H^i{}_{khj} + H^i{}_{hjk} = 0, \qquad (4)$$

$$H^i{}_{jk(l)} + H^i{}_{kl(j)} + H^i{}_{lj(k)} = 0 \qquad (5)$$

By contracting $H^i{}_{jkh}$ and $H^i{}_{jk}$, we obtain

$$H^i{}_{ji} = H_j \ , \ \ H^i{}_{jkh} = H_{jk} = \dot{\partial}_h H_K \qquad (6)$$

$$\dot{x}^j H^i{}_{jkh} = H^i{}_{kh} \ , \ \dot{x}^j H^i{}_{jk} = H^i{}_k . \qquad (7)$$

Furthermore,

$$\dot{x}^j H^i{}_{jk} = H_k, \ H = 1\backslash n-1 H_{jk}\, \dot{x}^j \dot{x}^K . \qquad (8)$$

The commutation relations involving the curvature tensor field take the form[4]

$$T_{ij(h)(k)} - T_{ij(k)(h)} = \dot{\partial}_r T_{ij} H^r{}_{hk} - T_{rj} H^r{}_{ihk} - T_{ir} H^r{}_{jhk} \ , \qquad (9)$$

$$T^i{}_{(j)(h)(k)} - T^i{}_{(j)(k)(h)} = -\dot{\partial}_m T^i{}_{(j)} H^m{}_{hk} - T^i{}_{(m)} H^m{}_{jhk} - T^m{}_{(j)} H^i{}_{mhk}\, T_{ir} H^r{}_{jhk} \ , \qquad (10)$$

Additionally,

$$(\dot{\partial}_k T)_{(h)} - \dot{\partial}_k T_{(h)} = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\dot{\partial}_k (T^i_j)_{(h)} - \dot{\partial}_k (T^i_j)_{(h)} = T^i_r G^r_{jkh} - T^r_j G^i_{rkh} . \tag{12}$$

A first-order recurrent Finsler space is defined as one in which the curva ture tensor field satisfies

$$H^i{}_{jkh(l)} = v_l H^i{}_{jkh}, \tag{13}$$

where v_l is the recurrence vector field. By transvecting this equation successively with $\dot{x}^j$, we obtain

$$H^i_{kh(l)} = v_l H^i_{kh} , H^i_{h(l)} = v_l H^i_h . \tag{14}$$

Contracting indices i and h , we derive

$$H^i_{jk(l)} = v_l H^i_{jk} , H_{k(l)} = v_l H_k , H_{(l)} = v_l H . \tag{15}$$

A second-order recurrent Finsler space is a space in which the curvature tensor satisfies

$$H^i_{jkh(l)(m)} = a_{lm} H^i_{jkh} , \tag{16}$$

where a_{lm} is a recurrence tensor field, and $H^i{}_{jkh} \neq 0$. The tensor alm defines the second-order recurrent curvature tensor fields[9].

By transvecting (16) successively with ẋ, we obtain

$$H^i_{kh(l)(m)} = a_{lm} H^i_{kh} , H^i_{h(l)(m)} = a_{lm} H^i_h . \tag{17}$$

Contracting indices i and h , we derive

$$H^i_{jk(l)(m)} = a_{lm} H_{jk} , H_{k(l)(m)} = a_{lm} H_k , H_{(l)(m)}= a_{lm}H. \tag{18}$$

2. Third-Order Recurrent Curvature Tensor Fields :

An n-dimensional Finsler space Fn is said to be a third-order recurrent Finsler space if its curvature tensor field satisfies the condition

$$H^i_{jkh(l)(m(n)} = b_{lmn}H^i_{jkh} , H^i{}_{jkh} \neq 0 \tag{19}$$

Here, b_{lmn} is the third-order recurrence tensor field, characterizing the recur rence property of the curvature tensor at this order. The curvature tensor f ields defined in such a space are referred to as third-order recurrent curvature tensor fields.

By transvecting Equation (19) successively with ẋ, we obtain

$$H^i_{kh(l)(m(n)} = b_{lmn}H^i_{kh} , H^i_{h(l)(m(n)} = b_{lmn}H^i_h . \tag{20}$$

Contracting Equations (19), (20) with respect to the indices i and h , we derive

$$H^i_{jk(l)(m(n)} = b_{lmn}H_{jk} \ , H_{k(l)(m(n)} = b_{lmn}H_k \ , H_{(l)(m(n)} = b_{lmn}H \ . \qquad (21)$$

These results provide a systematic framework for analyzing third-order recurrence in Finsler geometry, extending the study of higher-order curvature tensor structures.

Theorem-1: (Third-Order Recurrence Condition in Finsler Spaces) Let F_n be an n-dimensional recurrent Finsler space. If the recurrence vector field v_m satisfies

$$a_{lm(n)} + a_{lm}a_n \neq 0,$$

then Fn is necessarily a third-order recurrent Finsler space. However, the converse is not necessarily true.

Proof: Taking the covariant differentiation of Equation (16), we obtain

$$H^i_{jkh(l)(m(n)} =(a_{lm(n)}+a_{lm}a_n) H^i{}_{jkh.} \qquad (22)$$

Using Equations (19), we deduce

$$b_{lmn} =(a_{lm(n)}+a_{lm}a_n) \qquad (23)$$

This proves the theorem, establishing that under the given condition, the space exhibits third-order recurrence. We now consider such spaces as third order recurrent Finsler spaces.

Theorem-2: (Third-Order Recurrence of the Curvature Tensor Fields in Fn)

In an n-dimensional third-order recurrent Finsler space Fn, the curvature tensor fields $H^i{}_{kh}$ and H^i_h are necessarily third-order recurrent.

Proof: By transvecting Equation (19) with $\dot{x}^j$ and using the relation $H^i{}_{kh}= H^i{}_{jkh}$,we obtain

$$H^i_{kh(l)(m(n)} = b_{lmn}H^i_{kh} \ . \qquad (24)$$

Since we use the property $\dot{x}^j_{(k)} = 0$, it follows that

$$H^i_{h(l)(m(n)} = b_{lmn}H^i_h \ . \qquad (25)$$

Thus, from Equations (22) and (23), the theorem is proven, establishing the third-order recurrence of the curvature tensor fields in Fn .

Theorem-3: In a third-order recurrent Finsler space 3RFn, the recur rence tensor field blmn satisfies the following identity:

$$b_{lmn} = v_{l(m)(n)} + v_l v_{(m)} v_n + v_{l(n)} v_m + v_l v_{m(n)} + v_l v_m v_n$$

Proof: Taking the covariant derivative of Equation (13) with respect to x^m and x^n in the Berwald sense and utilizing Definition (23), we obtain

$$H^i{}_{jkh(l)(m)} = v_l \ H^i{}_{jkh}$$

Further differentiation with respect to x^m yields

$$H^i{}_{jkh(l)(m)} = v_{l(m)} \ H^i{}_{jkh} +v_l \ H^i{}_{jkh(m)}$$

Similarly, taking another derivative with respect to xn, we obtain

$$H^{i}{}_{jkh(l)(m)(n)} = \nu_{l(m)(n)} H^{i}{}_{jkh} + \nu_{l(m)} H^{i}{}_{jkh(n)} + \nu_{l(n)} H^{i}{}_{jkh(m)} + \nu_{l} H^{i}{}_{jkh(m)(n)} .$$

Applying the recurrence condition recursively and simplifying, we arrive at

$$b_{lmn} =[\nu_{l(m)(n)} + \nu_l\nu_{(m)}\nu_n + \nu_{l(n)}\nu_m + \nu_l\nu_{m(n)} + \nu_l\nu_m\nu_n]$$

which completes the proof.

Theorem-4: In a third-order recurrent Finsler space 3RFn, the recur rence tensor blmn satisfies the identity:

$$[b_{l[mn]} - \nu_l a_{[mn]}]+ [b_{m[nl]} - \nu_{ln} a_{[nl]}]+ [b_{l[mn]} - \nu_l a_{[mn]}] + [b_{n[lm]} - \nu_n a_{[lm]}] + (½)[\dot{\partial}_p\nu_l H^p{}_{mn} + \dot{\partial}_p\nu_m H^p{}_{nl} + \dot{\partial}_p\nu_n H^p{}_{mn}] = 0.$$

Where the indices in [ ] are free from symmetric and skew symmetric operation.

Proof: Using the commutation formula for the curvature tensor Hi jkh given by Equation (10), we obtain

$$H^{i}{}_{jkh(l)(m)(n)} - H^{i}{}_{jkh(l)(n)(m)} =\dot{\partial}_p H^{i}{}_{jkh(l)} H^{p}{}_{mn} + H^{p}{}_{jkh(l)} H^{i}{}_{pmn} + H^{i}{}_{pkh(l)} H^{p}{}_{jmn} + H^{i}{}_{jph(l)} H^{p}{}_{kmn} + H^{i}{}_{jkh(l)} H^{p}{}_{hmn} - H^{i}{}_{jkh(p)} H^{p}{}_{lmn} \quad (26)$$

Using the recurrence conditions from Equations (13) and (19), along with the commutation relation in (9), we obtain

$$(b_{lmn} - b_{lnm})H^{i}{}_{jkh} = \mu_l(a_{mn}H^{i}{}_{jkh} - a_{nm}H^{i}{}_{jkh}) -\dot{\partial}_p\nu_l H^{i}{}_{jkh}H^{p}{}_{mn} - \nu_{(p)}H^{i}{}_{jkh}H^{p}{}_{lmn}$$

Since $H^{i}{}_{jkh}= 0$, we simplify to

$$(b_{lmn} - b_{lnm}) = \nu_l(a_{mn} - a_{nm}) - \dot{\partial}_p\nu_l H^{p}{}_{mn} - \nu_{(p)}H^{p}{}_{lmn}. \quad (27)$$

Adding two more cyclic permutations of indices l, m, n and applying identity (4), we obtain

$$[b_{l[mn]} - \nu_l a_{[mn]}]+ [b_{m[nl]} - \nu_{ln} a_{[nl]}]+ [b_{l[mn]} - \nu_l a_{[mn]}] + [b_{n[lm]} - \nu_n a_{[lm]}]+ 1|2$$

$$[\dot{\partial}_p\nu_l H^p{}_{mn} + \dot{\partial}_p\nu_m H^p{}_{nl} + \dot{\partial}_p\nu_n H^p{}_{mn}] = 0. \quad (28)$$

Thus proving the theorem.

Theorem-5: In a third-order recurrent Finsler space 3RFn, if the recurrence

vector ν_l is independent of the directional argument, then the identity

$$b_{l[mn]} - \nu_l a_{[mn]} + b_{m[nl]} - \nu_{ln} a_{[nl]} + b_{l[mn]} - \nu_l a_{[mn]} + b_{n[lm]} - \nu_n a_{[lm]} = 0.$$

holds.

Proof: If the recurrence vector νl is independent of the directional argument, then $\partial_p\nu_l = 0$.

Substituting this into Equation (28), we obtain

$$b_{l[mn]} - \nu_l a_{[mn]} + b_{m[nl]} - \nu_{ln} a_{[nl]} + b_{l[mn]} - \nu_l a_{[mn]} + b_{n[lm]} - \nu_n a_{[lm]} = 0 \quad (29)$$

which completes the proof.

References:

[1] Chaki, M. C. (1967). On Ricci-recurrent spaces of 2nd order. Indian Journ. Math., 9, 279-287.

[2] Mishra, R. S., and Pandey, H. D. (1968). Recurrent Finsler space. J. Ind. Math. Soc., Vol. 32, 17-22.

[3] Pande, H., Pandey, J., Pande, N. (1976). A W-Recurrent Finsler space of the second order. Bulletin (Acad´emie serbe des sciences et des arts. Classe des sciences math´ ematiques et naturelles. Sciences math´ ematiques), (9), 65-71.

[4] Pande, H., Tripathi, S. (1982). W-third order recurrent finsler space. ˙ Istanbul University Science Faculty the Journal of Mathematics Physics and Astronomy, 46, 61-66.

[5] Ray, A. K. (1972). On generalised 2-recurrent tensors in Riemannian spaces. Bulletins de l'Acad´ emie Royale de Belgique, 58(1), 220-228.

[6] Rund, H. (1959). The differential geometry of Finsler spaces (Vol. 101). Springer Science Business Media.

[7] Saxena, S., Pandey, P. N., Shukla, S. K. (2017, October). Geometric ob jects recurrent in a direction and directionally recurrent Finsler spaces. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 1897, No. 1). AIP Publishing.

[8] Singh, S., Gatoto, J. (2001). On the decomposition of curvature tensor in recurrent conformal Finsler space. ˙ Istanbul University Science Faculty the Journal of Mathematics Physics and Astronomy, 60, 73-83.

[9] Sinha, B. B., Singh, S. P. (1971). Recurrent Finsler space of second order. Yokohama Mathematical Journal, 19(2), 79-85.

[10] Sinha, B. B. (1971). On recurrent Finsler spaces. Roum. Math. Pures Appl., 16, 977-986.

[11] Sen, R. N. (1968). Finsler spaces of recurrent curvature. Tensor (NS), 19, 291-299.

[12] Srivastava, S. K., Mishra, A. K. (2016). P. Recurrent Finsler spaces the orem in projective recurrent spaces of third order. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology, 5(1), 185-194.